

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
07/23/2001	Frank-Gerhard Boss	Le A 34 494	4089
90 05/21/2002	* *		
Jeffrey M. Greenman Vice President, Patents and Licensing Bayer Corporation		EXAMINER	
		BAHAR, MOJDEH	
ne	da esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 06516	· .	1617	
	? ?	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	Ď
	07/23/2001 90 05/21/2002 eenman Patents and Licensing ion	07/23/2001 Frank-Gerhard Boss 90 05/21/2002 eenman Patents and Licensing ion ne	07/23/2001 Frank-Gerhard Boss Le A 34 494 90 05/21/2002 eenman Patents and Licensing BAHAR, Mone Γ 06516 ART UNIT 1617

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/911,277	BOSS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mojdeh Bahar	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	· is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☑ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 					
Attachment(s)	,				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

1.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,277

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haning et al. (WO 98/40384), the equivalent of this patent is USPN 6,174,884 B1. All references herein are made to the English language patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,277

Art Unit: 1617

Haning et al. teaches a method of treating cerebrovascular diseases (e.g., stroke) comprising administering to a patient a PDE-II inhibitory compound of formula I, including the compound represented by example 39 (which reads on formula (I) compound of claim 9 in the instant application), see col. 43, lines 25-45, see also col. 13 line 50 to col. 14 line 61.

Haning et al. does not particularly teach the particular manifestations/symptoms of a stroke (e.g., impaired memory, perception, learning ability) or those of Parkinson's, Alzheimer's or dementia.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the method of Haning in treating disorders of perception, learning, concentration or memory.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the method of Haning in treating disorders of perception, learning, concentration or memory because disorders of perception, learning, concentration or memory are known to result from a stroke. Treating the underlying cause of these disorders, would therefore be reasonably expected to treat these symptomatic/secondary disorders. One of ordinary skill in the art would have also been motivated to employ Hanin's method in disorders of perception, learning, concentration or memory, regardless of their etiology.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mojdeh Bahar whose telephone number is (703) 305-1007. The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 305-1007 from 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,277

Art Unit: 1617

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Mojdeh Bahar Patent Examiner May 17, 2002

RUSSEDY TRAVERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200